

# Linear systems – Final exam (solutions)

Final exam 2018–2019, Tuesday 18 June 2019, 9:00 – 12:00

---

## Problem 1

(10 points)

---

To solve the initial value problem

$$t\dot{x}(t) - x(t) = t^3 \sin(t^2), \quad x(\sqrt{\pi}) = 0, \quad (1)$$

consider the differential equation for  $t > 0$  and write it in the standard form

$$\dot{x}(t) = \frac{1}{t}x(t) + t^2 \sin(t^2), \quad (2)$$

which is achieved after dividing by  $t$ .

Computation of the integrating factor yields

$$F(t) = \int \frac{1}{t} dt = \ln |t|, \quad (3)$$

such that

$$e^{-F(t)} = e^{-\ln |t|} = e^{\ln \frac{1}{|t|}} = \frac{1}{|t|} = \frac{1}{t}, \quad (4)$$

where again  $t > 0$  is used. It now follows that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \{t^{-1}x(t)\} = -t^{-2}x(t) + t^{-1}\dot{x}(t) = \frac{t\dot{x}(t) - x(t)}{t^2}, \quad (5)$$

such that substitution of the differential equation gives

$$\frac{d}{dt} \{t^{-1}x(t)\} = \frac{t^3 \sin(t^2)}{t^2} = t \sin(t^2). \quad (6)$$

Hence,

$$\frac{x(t)}{t} = \int t \sin(t^2) dt = \int \frac{1}{2} \sin(u) du = -\frac{1}{2} \cos(u) + C = -\frac{1}{2} \cos(t^2) + C, \quad (7)$$

where we have used the substitution  $u = t^2$ . Consequently, the general solution to the differential equation is given by

$$x(t) = -\frac{1}{2}t \cos(t^2) + Ct, \quad (8)$$

which can be verified to satisfy the differential equation in (1) for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

To solve the initial value problem, evaluate

$$x(\sqrt{\pi}) = -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\pi} \cos(\pi) + C\sqrt{\pi} = \left(\frac{1}{2} + C\right)\sqrt{\pi}. \quad (9)$$

As  $x(\sqrt{\pi}) = 0$ , this leads to

$$C = -\frac{1}{2}. \quad (10)$$

**Problem 2**

(3 + 3 + 6 = 12 points)

Consider the scalar differential equation

$$\frac{d^3q}{dt^3}(t) + q^2(t)\frac{d^2q}{dt^2}(t) + q(t) - q^2(t) = u(t). \quad (11)$$

(a) To write the system in state-space form, introduce the state

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} q \\ \dot{q} \\ \ddot{q} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (12)$$

The corresponding dynamics is then given by

$$\dot{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{q} \\ \ddot{q} \\ \dddot{q} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ x_3 \\ -q^2\ddot{q} - q + q^2 + u \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ x_3 \\ -x_1^2x_3 - x_1 + x_1^2 + u \end{bmatrix}, \quad (13)$$

which we will denote as

$$\dot{x}(t) = f(x(t), u(t)) \quad (14)$$

with

$$f(x, u) = \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ x_3 \\ -x_1^2x_3 - x_1 + x_1^2 + u \end{bmatrix}. \quad (15)$$

(b) After denoting  $\bar{u} = 0$  and

$$\bar{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (16)$$

it is easily verified that

$$f(\bar{x}, \bar{u}) = 0, \quad (17)$$

i.e., (16) is an equilibrium point corresponding to  $\bar{u} = 0$ .

To find the other equilibria, solve  $0 = f(x, \bar{u})$  as

$$0 = \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ x_3 \\ -x_1^2x_3 - x_1 + x_1^2 + \bar{u} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (18)$$

The first two components immediately give  $x_2 = 0$  and  $x_3 = 0$ , after which the third component (with  $\bar{u} = 0$ ) reads

$$0 = -x_1 + x_1^2 = x_1(x_1 - 1). \quad (19)$$

This has the two solutions  $x_1 = 1$  and  $x_1 = 0$ , of which the first leads to the equilibrium (16). The second gives a new equilibrium, namely

$$\bar{x} = 0. \quad (20)$$

- (c) To determine the linearization of (14) around the equilibrium (16), define the perturbations from the equilibrium as

$$\tilde{x} = x - \bar{x}, \quad \tilde{u} = u - \bar{u}, \quad (21)$$

such that we have

$$\dot{\tilde{x}} = f(\bar{x} + \tilde{x}, \bar{u} + \tilde{u}). \quad (22)$$

This leads to the linearized dynamics (by the Taylor expansion)

$$\dot{\tilde{x}} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(\bar{x}, \bar{u})\tilde{x} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial u}(\bar{x}, \bar{u})\tilde{u}. \quad (23)$$

Then, computation of the Jacobian of  $f$  with respect to  $x$  gives

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, u) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -2x_1x_3 - 1 + 2x_1 & 0 & -x_1^2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (24)$$

leading to

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(\bar{x}, \bar{u}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (25)$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial u}(\bar{x}, \bar{u}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (26)$$

Finally, the substitution of the results (25) and (26) in (23) gives

$$\dot{\tilde{x}}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \tilde{x}(t) + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \tilde{u}(t). \quad (27)$$

**Problem 3**

(16 points)

Consider the linear system given by the transfer function

$$T(s) = \frac{s}{s^4 + as^3 + as^2 + as + 1}, \quad (28)$$

where  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ .

To determine the values of  $a$  for which the system is externally stable, recall that external stability is characterized by the poles of the transfer function (28). These are the roots of the denominator polynomial *after common factors have been canceled*.

As a first step, note that

$$p(s) = s, \quad q(s) = s^4 + as^3 + as^2 + as + 1, \quad (29)$$

have no common factors. Namely, the only root of  $p$  is at 0 and we have that  $q(0) = 1$  regardless of the value of  $a$ , i.e.,  $p$  and  $q$  are coprime.

Thus, the linear system given by (28) is externally stable if and only if the polynomial  $q$  is stable. Stability of  $q$  can be evaluated through the following Routh table.

	$s^4$	$s^3$	$s^2$	$s^1$	$s^0$	
$a \times$	1	$a$	$a$	$a$	1	$q$
$1 \times$	$a$	$a$	$a$	$a$	1	
		$a^2$	$a(a-1)$	$a^2$	$a$	result of step 1
$(a-1) \times$		$a$	$a-1$	$a$	1	after division by $a: q'$
$a \times$		$a-1$	$a-1$	1	$a-1$	
					$a-1$	result of step 2: $q''$
		$(a-1)^2$	$a(a-2)$	$a-1$	$a-1$	

Note that a necessary condition for stability of  $q$  is that all coefficients have the same sign. This immediately implies that

$$a > 0 \quad (30)$$

is necessary for stability.

This condition also implies that the result of step 1 can be divided by  $a$ . Then, following the same reasoning, a necessary condition of the result of step 1 to be stable is that

$$a > 1. \quad (31)$$

Similarly, after step 2, we obtain the necessary condition

$$a > 2. \quad (32)$$

However, the result of step 2 is a quadratic polynomial which is known to be stable if and only if all coefficients have the same sign. This means that (32) is also a sufficient condition for stability of the polynomial  $q''$ .

Consequently, by the Routh-Hurwitz criterion, a necessary and sufficient condition for external stability is that

$$a > 2. \quad (33)$$

**Problem 4**

(4 + 12 + 8 = 24 points)

Consider the linear system

$$\Sigma: \dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t),$$

with state  $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ , input  $u(t) \in \mathbb{R}$ , and

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -3 & -3 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -2 & -5 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(a) To determine controllability, compute

$$[B \ AB \ A^2B] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -2 & -4 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (34)$$

It is clear that

$$\text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -2 & -4 \end{bmatrix} = 1 < 3 = n, \quad (35)$$

such that the system is not controllable.

(b) To find the desired transformation, first note that the reachable subspace is given as

$$\mathcal{W} = \text{im} [B \ AB \ A^2B] = \text{im} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -2 & -4 \end{bmatrix} = \text{span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}, \quad (36)$$

such that

$$q_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (37)$$

forms a basis for the reachable subspace  $\mathcal{W}$ . This basis can be extended to a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  by choosing, for example,

$$q_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad q_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (38)$$

such that  $\text{span}\{q_1, q_2, q_3\} = \mathbb{R}^3$ . After forming

$$T^{-1} = [q_1 \ q_2 \ q_3] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (39)$$

solving the linear system

$$AT^{-1} = T^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ 0 & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} \quad (40)$$

for  $A_{11}$ ,  $A_{12}$ , and  $A_{22}$  leads to

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & -3 & -3 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -2 & -5 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -3 & -3 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & -5 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -3 & -3 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -8 & -3 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (41)$$

Thus, we have that

$$A_{11} = 2, \quad A_{12} = [-3 \ -3], \quad A_{22} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -8 & -3 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (42)$$

Similarly, solving

$$B = T^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} B_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (43)$$

immediately leads to

$$B_1 = 1. \quad (44)$$

The pair  $(A_{11}, B_1)$  is controllable by construction.

Finally, the eigenvalues of  $A_{22}$  are obtained through

$$0 = \det(\lambda I - A) = \begin{vmatrix} \lambda - 1 & -1 \\ 8 & \lambda + 3 \end{vmatrix} = (\lambda - 1)(\lambda + 3) + 8 = \lambda^2 + 2\lambda + 5, \quad (45)$$

which gives

$$\lambda = -1 \pm \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{4 - 4 \cdot 5} = -1 \pm \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-16} = -1 \pm 2i. \quad (46)$$

Note that the matrices  $A_{12}$  and  $A_{22}$  are dependent on the choice of basis (38), but the eigenvalues of  $A_{22}$  are not.

(c) Note that

$$T(A + BF)T^{-1} = TAT^{-1} + TBF T^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ 0 & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} B_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} FT^{-1}. \quad (47)$$

As  $\sigma(A_{22}) \subset \mathbb{C}_-$ , it is sufficient to choose  $FT^{-1}$  of the form

$$FT^{-1} = [F_1 \ 0], \quad (48)$$

in which case

$$T(A + BF)T^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} + B_1 F_1 & A_{12} \\ 0 & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} \quad (49)$$

and

$$\sigma(A + BF) = \sigma(T(A + BF)T^{-1}) = \sigma(A_{11} + B_1 F_1) \cup \sigma(A_{22}) \subset \mathbb{C}_- \quad (50)$$

if and only if  $\sigma(A_{11} + B_1 F_1) \subset \mathbb{C}_-$ . However, the latter is easily achieved as  $A_{11}$  and  $B_1$  are scalar. Namely,

$$A_{11} + B_1 F_1 = 2 + F_1, \quad (51)$$

such that any  $F_1 < -2$  is stabilizing.

To return to the original coordinates, solve the linear system

$$FT^{-1} = [F_1 \ 0] \quad (52)$$

as

$$F \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = [F_1 \ 0 \ 0] \quad (53)$$

to obtain

$$F = [F_1 \ 0 \ 0]. \quad (54)$$

**Problem 5**

(4 + 6 + 2 = 12 points)

Consider the linear system

$$\dot{x}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} -8 & -10 & 0 \\ 5 & 7 & 0 \\ -6 & -10 & -2 \end{bmatrix} x(t) + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} u(t), \quad y(t) = [1 \ 2 \ 0] x(t).$$

(a) Compute

$$\begin{bmatrix} C \\ CA \\ CA^2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 4 & 0 \\ 4 & 8 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (55)$$

such that the unobservable subspace is given by

$$\mathcal{N} = \ker \begin{bmatrix} C \\ CA \\ CA^2 \end{bmatrix} = \ker \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 4 & 0 \\ 4 & 8 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\} \quad (56)$$

As  $\dim \mathcal{N} = 2 > 0$ , the system is not observable.

(b) To evaluate detectability, we first need to compute the eigenvalues of the system matrix. To this end, note that the block lower-triangular form implies that

$$\sigma \left( \begin{bmatrix} -8 & -10 & 0 \\ 5 & 7 & 0 \\ -6 & -10 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \sigma \left( \begin{bmatrix} -8 & -10 \\ 5 & 7 \end{bmatrix} \right) \cup \{-2\}. \quad (57)$$

The eigenvalues of the upper-left submatrix are computed as

$$0 = \det(\lambda I - A) = \begin{vmatrix} \lambda + 8 & 10 \\ -5 & \lambda - 7 \end{vmatrix} = (\lambda + 8)(\lambda - 7) + 50 = \lambda^2 + \lambda - 6 = (\lambda - 2)(\lambda + 3), \quad (58)$$

such that

$$\sigma \left( \begin{bmatrix} -8 & -10 \\ 5 & 7 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \{2, -3\}. \quad (59)$$

Thus,  $\lambda = 2$  is the only unstable eigenvalue. Then, by the Hautus test,

$$\text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} A - \lambda I \\ C \end{bmatrix} = \text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} -10 & -10 & 0 \\ 5 & 5 & 0 \\ -6 & -10 & -4 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = 3, \quad (60)$$

such that the system is detectable.

(c) Yes, since the system is detectable.

**Problem 6**

(16 points)

Show that, for any matrix  $G$ , the matrix pair  $(A - GC, C)$  is observable if and only if the matrix pair  $(A, C)$  is observable.

*only if* (By contraposition). Let  $(A, C)$  be not observable. By the Hautus test, this means that

$$\text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} A - \lambda I \\ C \end{bmatrix} < n, \quad (61)$$

for some eigenvalue  $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$ . As a result, there exists a nonzero vector  $v$  such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} A - \lambda I \\ C \end{bmatrix} v = 0. \quad (62)$$

Stated differently, we have that  $Av = \lambda v$  and  $Cv = 0$ . This then implies that

$$\begin{bmatrix} A - GC - \lambda I \\ C \end{bmatrix} v = 0, \quad (63)$$

i.e., the matrix pair  $(A - GC, C)$  is not observable.

*if*. The converse follows similarly.